

Technical Appendix to: Currency Competition in a Fundamental Model of Money.

(not intended for publication)

Gabriele Camera	Ben Craig	Christopher J. Waller
Purdue University	FRB of Cleveland	University of Kentucky
Gcamera@mgmt.purdue.edu	bcraig@clev.frb.org	cjwaller@pop.uky.edu

Existence and uniqueness of a stationary distribution $\{m_j\}$ when $p^* = (1, 1, 1)$.

I. Sufficient conditions for existence. We use a Liapunov function approach as in Zhou (1997) to provide sufficient conditions such that there is a unique stationary distribution of money.

Specifically, consider the state space M and an equilibrium point $m^* \in M$. Define a real-valued function L on M , that satisfy the following requirements: (i) L is continuous and has continuous first-partial derivatives (ii) $L(m)$ has a unique minimum at m^* with respect to all other points in M . (iii) The function $\dot{L}(m)$ satisfies $\dot{L}(m) \leq 0$ for all $m \in M$. This function L is called a Liapunov function. We then rely on the Liapunov theorem stating that if there exists a Liapunov function the equilibrium point m^* is stable and if the function $\dot{L}(m) < 0$ at all $m \neq m^*$ then the stability is asymptotic. Equations

$$\begin{aligned}
 1 &= m_0 + m_g + m_b + m_{2g} + m_{2b} + m_{gb} \\
 M_g &= m_g + 2m_{2g} + m_{gb} \\
 M_b &= m_b + 2m_{2b} + m_{gb}
 \end{aligned} \tag{1}$$

$$\tau(m_b + 2m_{2b} + m_{gb}) = \eta[m_0 + m_g + m_b]. \tag{2}$$

imply that $\{m_0, m_g, m_b, \eta\}$ are single-valued functions of $\{m_{2g}, m_{2b}, m_{gb}\}$. That is:

$$\begin{aligned}
 m_g &= M_g - m_{gb} - 2m_{2g} \\
 m_b &= M_b - m_{gb} - 2m_{2b} \\
 m_0 &= 1 - M_g - M_b + m_{2g} + m_{2b} + m_{gb}
 \end{aligned} \tag{3}$$

and the government budget constraint is

$$\eta = \frac{\tau M_b}{1 - (m_{2g} + m_{2b} + m_{gb})} \quad (4)$$

Using (3) in

$$\dot{m}_{2g} = m_g (m_g + m_{gb}) - m_{2g} (m_0 + m_b) \quad (5)$$

$$\dot{m}_{2b} = x[m_b^2 - m_{2b} (m_0 + m_g)] + \eta m_b - \tau m_{2b} \quad (6)$$

$$\dot{m}_{gb} = x[m_g m_{2b} + m_b m_{2g} + 2m_b m_g - m_{gb} (m_0 + m_g)] + \eta m_g - \tau m_{gb} \quad (7)$$

we get:

$$\begin{aligned} \dot{m}_{2g} &= (M_g - m_{gb} - 2m_{2g})(M_g - 2m_{2g}) - m_{2g}(1 - M_g + m_{2g} - m_{2b}) \\ \dot{m}_{2b} &= x[(M_b - m_{gb} - 2m_{2b})^2 - m_{2b}(1 - M_b - m_{2g} + m_{2b})] \\ &\quad + \eta(M_b - m_{gb} - 2m_{2b}) - \tau m_{2b} \\ \dot{m}_{gb} &= x[(M_g - m_{gb} - 2m_{2g})m_{2b} + (M_b - m_{gb} - 2m_{2b})m_{2g} \\ &\quad + 2(M_b - m_{gb} - 2m_{2b})(M_g - m_{gb} - 2m_{2g}) - m_{gb}(1 - M_b - m_{2g} + m_{2b})] \\ &\quad + \eta(M_g - m_{gb} - 2m_{2g}) - \tau m_{gb} \end{aligned} \quad (8)$$

Define the 3x1 vector $m = [m_1, m_2, m_3]$ where $m_1 = m_{2g}$, $m_2 = m_{2b}$, $m_3 = m_{gb}$ and $m_i \in [0, 1]$ with $m_1 + m_2 + m_3 \leq 1$. Then define the system in (8) as $\dot{m} = F(m)$ where $F(m)$ is a 3×1 vector. Denote by $F(m)[i]$ the i^{th} row of $F(m)$. Then, letting $\frac{dF(m)[i]}{dm_j} = a(i, j)$, $j, i = 1, 2, 3$, the Jacobian of $F(m)$ is a 3x3 matrix

$$\frac{dF(m)}{dm} = \begin{bmatrix} a(1, 1) & \dots & a(1, 3) \\ \vdots & a(2, 2) & \vdots \\ a(3, 1) & \dots & a(3, 3) \end{bmatrix}$$

where, recalling that τ is a constant,

$$\begin{aligned}
a(1, 1) &= m_{2b} + 2m_{gb} + 6m_{2g} - 3M_g - 1 < 0 \\
a(1, 2) &= m_{2g} \\
a(1, 3) &= 2m_{2g} - M_g < 0 \quad (\text{since } M_g > 2m_{2g}) \\
a(2, 1) &= xm_{2b} + \frac{d\eta}{dm_{2g}} (M_b - m_{gb} - 2m_{2b}) > 0 \\
a(2, 2) &= x [4m_{gb} + m_{2g} + 6m_{2b} - 3M_b - 1] + \frac{d\eta}{dm_{2b}} (M_b - m_{gb} - 2m_{2b}) - 2\eta - \tau \\
a(2, 3) &= -2x [M_b - m_{gb} - 2m_{2b}] + \frac{d\eta}{dm_{gb}} (M_b - m_{gb} - 2m_{2b}) - \eta \\
a(3, 1) &= x [4m_{gb} + 4m_{2b} - 3M_b] + \frac{d\eta}{dm_{2g}} (M_b - m_{gb} - 2m_{2g}) - 2\eta \\
a(3, 2) &= x [2m_{gb} + 4m_{2g} - 3M_g] + \frac{d\eta}{dm_{2b}} (M_g - m_{gb} - 2m_{2g}) \\
a(3, 3) &= x [4m_{gb} + 4m_{2g} + 2m_{2b} - M_b - 2M_g - 1] + \frac{d\eta}{dm_{gb}} (M_g - m_{gb} - 2m_{2g}) - \eta - \tau
\end{aligned}$$

where we note that $\frac{d\eta}{dm_i} > 0$ for all m_i . We note that $M_g > m_{gb} + 2m_{2g}$ and $M_b > m_{gb} + 2m_{2b}$ if $m_g, m_b > 0$, using (3)-(??). Substituting the infimum $M_g = m_{gb} + 2m_{2g}$ and $M_b = m_{gb} + 2m_{2b}$ in $a(2, 2), a(3, 2)$ and $a(3, 3)$, it is easy to show that all of these terms are strictly negative as $\eta \rightarrow 0$ while $a(2, 3) \rightarrow 0^-$. Thus there are small values of $\eta > 0$ such that $a(2, 2), a(2, 3), a(3, 2)$ and $a(3, 3)$ are all negative. Note that $\eta \rightarrow 0$ when either $\tau \rightarrow 0$ or $M_b \rightarrow 0$, and so does $\frac{d\eta}{dm_i} > 0$ for all m_i .

We want to show that $\frac{dF(m)}{dm}$ is negative definite. To do so we can consider the sign of its three principal minors:

$$D_1 = a(1, 1), \quad D_2 = \begin{vmatrix} a(1, 1) & a(1, 2) \\ a(2, 1) & a(2, 2) \end{vmatrix}, \quad \text{and} \quad D_3 = \begin{vmatrix} a(1, 1) & a(1, 2) & a(1, 3) \\ a(2, 1) & a(2, 2) & a(2, 3) \\ a(3, 1) & a(3, 2) & a(3, 3) \end{vmatrix}$$

We note that $D_1 = a(1, 1) < 0$. This is so because $M_g \geq m_{gb} + 2m_{2g}$ (with strict inequality if $m_g > 0$) using (3). Substituting $M_g = m_{gb} + 2m_{2g}$ in $a(1, 1)$ provides a maximum for $a(1, 1)$. This maximum is seen to be negative since $-m_{gb} + m_{2b} - 1 < 0$.

The minor $D_2 = a(1, 1)a(2, 2) - a(1, 2)a(2, 1)$. Note that $a(1, 2)$ and $a(2, 1)$ are both positive, and that their product tends to zero as x and η shrink to 0. Furthermore, $a(2, 2) < 0$ as η tends to zero because $-3M_b - 1 + 4m_{gb} + m_{2g} + 6m_{2b} < 0$ (since $M_b \geq m_{gb} + 2m_{2b}$). Thus $D_2 > 0$ for x and η small (i.e. either τ or M_b small). The third minor is

$$\begin{aligned}
D_3 &= a(1, 1) [a(2, 2)a(3, 3) - a(2, 3)a(3, 2)] \\
&\quad - a(1, 2) [a(2, 1)a(3, 3) - a(2, 3)a(3, 1)] \\
&\quad + a(1, 3) [a(2, 1)a(3, 2) - a(2, 2)a(3, 1)]
\end{aligned}$$

Note that as $\eta, x \rightarrow 0$ then the second and third line in D_3 vanish, and that the first line, is strictly negative and given by

$$\tau^2 (-3M_g - 1 + m_{2b} + 2m_{gb} + 6m_{2g})$$

We conclude that there exist an M_b and x positive but sufficiently small such that $D_1 < 0$, $D_2 > 0$ and $D_3 < 0$. Thus, for M_b and x small the matrix $\frac{dF(m)}{dm}$ is negative definite (see Chiang).

Since $F(m)$ is a 3x1 vector (‘’ transposes it), define the function

$$L(m) = [F(m)]' F(m) = (\dot{m}_{2g})^2 + (\dot{m}_{2b})^2 + (\dot{m}_{gb})^2 \geq 0$$

We show it is a Liapunov function. It is continuous (by construction) and it has continuous first partial derivatives. Recalling that the vector $F(m) = \dot{m}$, that $d[F(m)]'/dt = \dot{m}' \frac{dF(m)}{dm}$ (a 1x3 vector) and that $dF(m)/dt = \left[\frac{dF(m)}{dm} \right]' \dot{m}$ (a 3x1 vector) then the time derivative of $L(m)$ is the quadratic form (a scalar)

$$\dot{L}(m) = \dot{m}' \frac{dF(m)}{dm} \dot{m} + \dot{m}' \left[\frac{dF(m)}{dm} \right]' \dot{m}$$

so that $\dot{L}(m) = 0$ if $\dot{m} = 0$, and < 0 if $\dot{m} \neq 0$ for x and M_b small, since $\frac{dF(m)}{dm}$ is negative definite.

To show that there exists an m^* such that $L(m^*) = 0$ we use a proof by contradiction. If $L(m) = \dot{m} \neq 0$ for all m defined above then $\dot{L}(m) \neq 0$. Since m is defined on a compact set it follows that $\dot{L}(m)$ has a maximum, say $l < 0$ (because of negative definiteness). But this cannot be since, defining $m(t)$ to be the state of the system at date t ,

$$\int_0^t \dot{L}(m(s)) ds = L(m(t)) - L(m(0)) \leq lt \Rightarrow L(m(t)) \leq lt + L(m(0))$$

which in turn implies $L(m(t)) \rightarrow -\infty$ as $t \rightarrow \infty$. This can't be since at every date, by construction, $L(m) \geq 0$. Thus $L(m)$ must be reaching a minimum 0 at some m^* . To show that m^* is unique, see below.

Thus $L(m)$ is a Liapunov function, and applying the Liapunov Theorem (see Azariadis, 1993, for a discrete time version) the unique equilibrium m^* is asymptotically stable if x and M_b are positive but sufficiently small. The money distribution m^* is unique and stationary.

II. Uniqueness. Using (3)-(4) and $M_g + M_b < 2$, then $m_i > 0$ and $\eta < 1$ require

$$m_{gb} + 2m_{2g} < M_g < 2 - M_b < 2 - m_{gb} - 2m_{2b}$$

$$m_{gb} + 2m_{2b} < M_b < \frac{1 - (m_{2g} + m_{2b} + m_{gb})}{\tau}.$$

We now show that for a feasible pair $\{m_{2b}, m_{2g}\}$, if m_{gb}^* solves (7), then it must be unique. Using (7) and (a1)-(a4) we obtain

$$m_{gb} = \frac{(M_g - m_{gb} - 2m_{2g}) \left(\frac{\tau M_b}{1 - m_{2g} - m_{2b} - m_{gb}} + x m_{2b} \right)}{\tau + x(1 - M_b + m_{2b} - m_{2g})} + \frac{x(M_b - m_{gb} - 2m_{2b})[m_{2g} + 2(M_g - m_{gb} - 2m_{2g})]}{\tau + x(1 - M_b + m_{2b} - m_{2g})}$$

The right hand side can be shown to be strictly decreasing in m_{gb} for all feasible values of m_{gb}, m_{2b} , and m_{2g} . It then follows that if there is a feasible m_{gb}^* that solves this expression, then it is unique.

We now show that for a feasible value of m_{gb} , a unique pair $\{m_{2b}^*, m_{2g}^*\}$ solves (5) and (6). Using (5) and (a1)-(a4) we obtain $m_{2b} = g(m_{gb}, m_{2g})$ where

$$g(m_{gb}, m_{2g}) = 1 - M_g + m_{2g} - \frac{(M_g - m_{gb} - 2m_{2g})(M_g - 2m_{2g})}{m_{2g}}$$

seen to be increasing in m_{2g} for feasible values $m_{2g} \leq (M_g - m_{gb})/2$, and it is concave in m_{2g} .

Using (6) and (a1)-(a4) we obtain $m_{2g} = b(m_{gb}, m_{2b})$ where

$$b(m_{gb}, m_{2b}) = \frac{\tau}{x} + 1 - M_b + m_{2b} - \frac{[\eta + x(M_b - m_{gb} - 2m_{2b})](M_b - m_{gb} - 2m_{2b})}{x m_{2b}}$$

which is easily seen to be increasing and concave in m_{2b} for feasible values $m_{2b} \leq (M_b - m_{gb})/2$, since η is increasing in m_{2b} . Note also that $g(m_{gb}, m_{2g}) \rightarrow -\infty$ as $m_{2g} \rightarrow 0$ and $b(m_{gb}, m_{2b}) \rightarrow -\infty$ as $m_{2b} \rightarrow 0$. Note that $m_{2b} \leq (M_b - m_{gb})/2 < g(m_{gb}, (M_g - m_{gb})/2)$ and $m_{2g} \leq (M_g - m_{gb})/2 < b(m_{gb}, (M_b - m_{gb})/2)$. The properties of the two functions imply there is a single crossing point for the two functions in the feasible part of the (m_{2b}, m_{2g}) plane. Thus, for any feasible value of m_{gb} and η , there is a unique pair $\{m_{2b}^*, m_{2g}^*\}$ that solves the system

$$\begin{cases} m_{2b} = g(m_{gb}, m_{2g}) \\ m_{2g} = b(m_{gb}, m_{2b}) \end{cases}$$

Given the uniqueness of the values in (3)-(4) and m_{gb} , then if a feasible distribution exists, it is unique.