Sociology 2013 Social Research Design

12. The Logic of Sampling

Preview

- Workbook assignments due: 7.1, 72
- Review Chapter 6 homework
- Video on Sampling
- History of Sampling
- Logic of Probability Sampling
- Sampling Techniques

Review Chap 6 Workbook Assignments

• Range: 0 to 98



6.2 Semantic differential



- Attitudes toward fast food
 - Nutrition:
 nutritious, junk
 - Taste: Tastes good, tastes bad
 - Cost: Expensive,
 cheap

Semantic Differential Format

Very
MuchSome-
whatSome-
NeitherVery
whatMuchNutritious[][][][][]Image: Some-
Much[][][][][]Image: Some-
Much[][]</t

How would you score the various responses?

Scoring Semantic Differential

	Very <u>Much</u>	Some- what	<u>Neither</u>	Some- what	Very <u>Much</u>	
Nutritious	4	3	2	1	0	Junk
Tastes good	14	3	2	1	0	Tastes bad
Expensive	0	1	2	3	4	Cheap

Range = 0 (Bad) to 12 (Good)

It's Showtime!



Intuitive sampling



- Chapman student experience of sexual harassment
- "Have you ever been sexually harassed?"
- Ask all? -- too many
- Ask some?
- How many?
- Which ones?

Sampling History: Literary Digest

- Picked winner in 1920-1932
- Picked Landon over FDR in 1936
 - Mailed 10,000,000 ballots
 - 2,000,000 came back
- FDR won with enormous landslide
- Who knows what went wrong?
- Sampling frame: cars and phones
- Excluded the poor--during Depression
- 20% return isn't very good
 - 70% or more is common in good studies

• Gallup predicted FDR in 1936



- Gallup predicted FDR in 1936
 - Quota Sampling





- Gallup predicted FDR in 1936
 - Quota Sampling Men Women College College Less Less Rural Urban

• Gallup predicted FDR in 1936



• Gallup predicted FDR in 1936

– Qı	- Quota Sampling Men Women			nen	
		College	Less	College	Less
Rural	White	%	%	%	%
	Non-white	%	%	%	%
Urban	White	%	%	%	%
	Non-white	%	%	%	%



- Accurate in 1936-1944
- Predicted Dewey in 1948



- Accurate in 1936-1944
- Predicted Dewey in 1948
- Truman won

What did Gallup do wrong?

- Quota frames were based on 1940 census
 - Urban migration during WWII
 - Underrepresented urban masses
- Stopped polling too soon
 - Extrapolation of trends pointed to Truman

Presidential Election

•				Clinton	Bush	Perot
•	Oct 25-26	Gallup/USA Today/CNN	44	38	18	
•	Oct 25-26	ABC News	43	35	22	
•	Oct 26-27	Gallup/USA Today/CNN	43	40	17	
•	Oct 26-27	ABC News	43	36	21	
•	Oct 27	NBC News/Wall St Jour	46	38	16	
•	Oct 27-28	Gallup/USA/Today/CNN	43	40	17	
•	10/31/92	Gallup	46	38	16	
•	10/31/92	CBS News/New York Times	45	37	18	
•	10/31/92	ABC News	44	39	18	
•	10/31/92	Gallup/CNN/USA Today	44	41	15	
•	11/01/92	Gallup/CNN/USA Today	47	38	15	
•	11/02/92	ABC News	45	38	16	
•	11/02/92	CBS News/New York Times	46	38	15	
•	11/02/92	NBC News/Wall St. Jour	46	38	16	
•	11/03/92	Harris Poll	44	38	17	
•						
•	11/03/92	ELECTION RESULTS	43	38	19	

Total = 31 slides

1996 Presidential Election

•	Dates Other	Agency	Clinton	Dole	Perot
•	10/28-31	Hotline/Battleground	49	40	9
•	10/30-11/2 9	CBS/New York Times		54	35
•	10/31-11/3	Pew Research Center	52	38	8
•	11/1-3 2	Reuter/Zogby	49	41	8
•	11/1-3	Harris	51	39	9
•	1 11/7_3	ARC TILL CLUB	57	20	7

2000 Presidential Election

Gore Bush Nader	Buchnan*			
11/5: Hotline [Polling Co/GSG] 43	51	4	1	
11/5: Marist College	46	51	2	
11/5: Fox [Opinion Dynamics] 47	47	3	2	
11/5: Newsweek [PRSA]	46	49	6	(
11/5: NBC/Wall St. Journal [Hart/Teeter]	45	48	4	2
11/5: Pew	46	49	3	1
<u>11/5: ICR</u>	44	46	7	2
<u>11/5: Harris</u> 47	47	5	1	
11/5: Harris (online)	47	47	4	2
11/5: ABC/ Washington Post [TNSI]	46	49	3	1
11/6: IDB/CSM [TIPP]	47	49	4	(
11/6: CBS	48	47	4	1
11/6: Portrait of America [Rasmussen]	43	52	4]
11/6: CNN/USA Today [Gallup] 46	48	4	1	
11/6: Reuters/MSNBC [Zogby] 48	46	5	1	
11/6: Voter.com [Lake/Goeas] 45	51	4	0	
· · · ·				
44				
	Gore BushNader11/5: Hotline [Polling Co/GSG]4311/5: Marist College11/5: Narist College11/5: Fox [Opinion Dynamics]4711/5: Newsweek [PRSA]11/5: NBC/Wall St. Journal [Hart/Teeter]11/5: NBC/Wall St. Journal [Hart/Teeter]11/5: Pew11/5: ICR11/5: Harris4711/5: Harris (online)11/5: ABC/ Washington Post [TNSI]11/6: IDB/CSM [TIPP]11/6: CBS11/6: Portrait of America [Rasmussen]11/6: CNN/USA Today [Gallup] 4611/6: Noter.com [Lake/Goeas]45	Gore Bush Nader Buchnan* 11/5: Hotline [Polling Co/GSG] 43 51 11/5: Marist College 46 11/5: Fox [Opinion Dynamics] 47 47 11/5: Newsweek [PRSA] 46 11/5: NBC/Wall St. Journal [Hart/Teeter] 45 11/5: NBC/Wall St. Journal [Hart/Teeter] 45 11/5: Pew 46 11/5: ICR 44 11/5: Harris 47 11/5: Harris (online) 47 11/5: ABC/ Washington Post [TNSI] 46 11/6: IDB/CSM [TIPP] 47 11/6: CBS 48 11/6: Portrait of America [Rasmussen] 43 11/6: CNN/USA Today [Gallup] 46 48 11/6: Noter.com [Lake/Goeas] 45	Gore Bush Nader Buchnan* 11/5: Hotline [Polling Co/GSG] 43 51 4 11/5: Marist College 46 51 11/5: Newsweek [PRSA] 46 49 11/5: NBC/Wall St. Journal [Hart/Teeter] 45 48 11/5: Pew 46 49 11/5: ICR 44 46 11/5: Harris 47 47 5 11/5: Harris (online) 47 47 11/5: ABC/ Washington Post [TNSI] 46 49 11/6: IDB/CSM [TIPP] 47 49 11/6: CBS 48 47 11/6: Portrait of America [Rasmussen] 43 52 11/6: CNN/USA Today [Gallup] 46 48 4 11/6: Voter.com [Lake/Goeas] 45 51 4	Gore Bush Nader Buchnan* 11/5: Hotline [Polling Co/GSG] 43 51 4 1 11/5: Marist College 46 51 2 11/5: Fox [Opinion Dynamics] 47 47 3 2 11/5: Newsweek [PRSA] 46 49 6 11/5: NBC/Wall St. Journal [Hart/Teeter] 45 48 4 11/5: Pew 46 49 3 11/5: ICR 44 46 7 11/5: Harris 47 47 5 11/5: Harris (online) 47 47 4 11/5: ABC/ Washington Post [TNSI] 46 49 3 11/5: Harris (online) 47 47 4 11/5: ABC/ Washington Post [TNSI] 46 49 3 11/6: IDB/CSM [TIPP] 47 47 4 11/6: CBS 48 47 4 11/6: CNN/USA Today [Gallup] 46 48 4 1 11/6: Voter.com [Lake/Goeas] 45 51 4 0

November 7th Election Results 48
 48
 3
 1

Probability sampling--EPSEM



- Equal
- Probability of
 - Selection
- Method

Calculate sampling error

95 percent confidence level is a standard



 $100 = \pm 10$ percentage points $400 = \pm 5$ percentage points $1600 = \pm 2.5$ percentage points

Total = 31 slides

ropulations and Sampling frames



- Problem of phone directory
- Problem of radio call-ins
- Problem of newspaper writeins

Types of Sampling designs

- Simple Random Sample
- Systematic
- Stratified
- Cluster sampling
- Probability proportionate to size (PPS)



Simple Random Sample

- Number each person in the population
- Select random numbers (from table or computer)
- Those people are in

Total = 31 slides

Systematic Sample

- Divide population size by desired sample to get sampling interval: K
- e.g., 1,000,000 / 2,000 = 500
- Select every 500th person



Stratified Sample

- Group population according to some variable(s), e.g., gender
- Then select random or systematic sample in each



Cluster Sample

- Identify clusters that people exist in
- Sample the clusters
- List people in each selected cluster
- Sample people within each of the selected clu



Total = 31 slides

Weighting

- Recall
 Quota
 Sampling
- Logic
- Methods







- **Sampling Examples**
- Review Chapter 7